

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS

CRIMES

A crime is an act specifically prohibited by law, or failure to perform an act specifically required by law, for which punishment is prescribed (California Penal Code section 15).

The eight crime offenses presented in this report were chosen because of their seriousness, frequency of occurrence, and likelihood of being reported to law enforcement. These offenses include homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, motor vehicle theft, larceny-theft over \$400, and arson.

If multiple crimes occur during the same event, only the most serious (based on hierarchy) is counted. Arson is the exception to this rule because arson frequently occurs in conjunction with other crimes. Therefore, it is felt that valuable information could be lost using the hierarchy rule. Arson is counted along with the other most serious offense.

The number of reported homicide, forcible rape, and aggravated assault crimes represents known victims, while for robbery, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson, the number represents known incidents.

Beginning in 2003, larceny-theft of property valued over \$400 was included in the property crime category to provide a more representative depiction of crime in California.

The Agnews Developmental Center began contracting services with the San Jose Police Department in August 2009. The Agnews Developmental Center's crime data for August through December 2009 are included in the San Jose Police Department's reports.

The Hanford Police Department did not submit crime data for July through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

The Lemoore Police Department did not submit crime data for December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

Prior to 2005, the Los Angeles Police Department had included child abuse and domestic violence simple assaults in its aggravated assault statistics.

In 2004, the Legislature amended Section 11093 of the Government Code to require any state agency or department that develops and maintains data and statistics on the municipal level to report a separate breakdown for the San Fernando Valley portion of the City of Los Angeles.

Mendota Police Department began reporting data in September 2009.

In January 2009, the Rancho Cordova Police Department became a contracting agency of the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. The 2009 crime data for Rancho Cordova Police Department was provided separately from the sheriff's department's reports.

Data for the San Francisco County Sheriff's Department are reported by the San Francisco Police Department.

The policing agency of the Tehachapi Department of Parks and Recreation began reporting data in January 2009.

The Tehachapi Police Department began reporting data in January 2009.

The Upland Police Department did not submit crime data for June through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

Although some agencies were unable to report their crime data, all homicide crimes were reported for 2009.

Motor vehicle thefts are reported by the California Highway Patrol for the Calaveras, Contra Costa, El Dorado, Santa Cruz, Trinity, and Tulare county sheriff's departments. The only motor vehicle thefts reported by the El Dorado County Sheriff's Department are those in which motor vehicles were stolen during the commission of a burglary.

ARRESTS

Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR)

An arrest occurs when a person is taken into custody because an officer has reason to believe the person violated the law. Not all arrests result in persons being jailed. Arrestees may be released by the arresting agency, post bail, or be released on their own recognizance to appear in court at a

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS *(continued)*

later date. Some are issued citations, much like traffic tickets, which direct them to appear in court at a later date.

Arrests are divided into two major groups: felony arrests and misdemeanor arrests. A felony arrest can result in a sentence to state prison if the offender is convicted as an adult. A misdemeanor arrest can result in a sentence of up to one year in county jail, a fine, probation, restitution, or any combination of these sentences.

Juveniles may also be arrested for truancy, incorrigibility, running away, and violating curfew. These are referred to as "status offenses" because agency intervention is based solely on the juvenile's status as a minor. Status offenses are acts that would not be "crimes" if committed by adults.

If a person is arrested for multiple offenses, the MACR selects only the most serious offense based on the severity of possible punishment.

The subjectivity of the classification and labeling process must be considered in the analysis of race/ethnic group data.

The Agnews Developmental Center began contracting services with the San Jose Police Department in August 2009. The Agnews Developmental Center's arrest data for August through December 2009 are included in the San Jose Police Department's reports.

Calipatria Police Department did not report data for 2009 because of staffing shortages.

The Hanford Police Department did not submit arrest data for July through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

The Lemoore Police Department did not submit arrest data for December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

In 2004, the Legislature amended section 11093 of the Government Code to require any state agency or department that develops and maintains data and statistics on the municipal level to report a separate breakdown for the San Fernando Valley portion of the City of Los Angeles.

Beginning in January 2009, the Marin Narcotic Task Force's arrest data are included in the Marin County Sheriff's Department's reports.

Mendota Police Department began reporting data in September 2009.

In January 2009, the Rancho Cordova Police Department became a contracting agency of the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. The 2009 crime data for Rancho Cordova Police Department was provided separately from the sheriff's department's reports.

Data for the San Francisco County Sheriff's Department are reported by the San Francisco Police Department.

San Francisco Police Department arrest counts are low for December 2009 because of a data extraction error found in their new records management system. The agency was unable to make corrections because of staffing shortages.

The policing agency of the Tehachapi Department of Parks and Recreation began reporting data in January 2009.

The Tehachapi Police Department began reporting data in January 2009.

The Upland Police Department did not submit arrest data for August through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

ADULT FELONY ARREST DISPOSITIONS

Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS)

The term "final disposition" refers to the last legal action taken after an adult has been arrested for a felony offense. Final dispositions can occur at the law enforcement, prosecutorial or adult court level. These agencies are required to report final dispositions to the Department of Justice in order to update an individual's criminal history record. The OBTS data are extracted from this Automated Criminal History System (ACHS).

The OBTS system was designed to compile data that illustrates the fallout of cases at various points within the criminal justice system for the final

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS *(continued)*

dispositions received by adults (and in some cases, juveniles) arrested in California for felony offenses.

The OBTS file includes information about persons under 18 years of age at the time of arrest. These juveniles were processed as adults and received final dispositions in adult court under the Welfare and Institutions Code sections 602, 707(a), 707(b), 707(c), and 707.1(a).

If a person is arrested for multiple offenses, the OBTS system selects only the most serious offense based on the severity of possible punishment. If there are multiple court dispositions, the OBTS system selects the most serious court disposition and the associated offense.

Only the final disposition for an arrest event is selected for statistical purposes. Intermediate dispositions (i.e., diversion programs, suspended proceedings, reopenings, retrials, or subsequent actions) are not included in the OBTS data.

The OBTS data are displayed by the year of final disposition regardless of the year in which an arrest occurred.

It is estimated that approximately 65 percent to 75 percent of adult felony arrest dispositions are reported annually. These data are considered representative at the statewide level; however, data may or may not be representative at the county level.

Caution should be used when comparing conviction and non-conviction dispositions. Budget constraints necessitated the processing of conviction dispositions on a priority basis. Therefore, fluctuations from year to year may not necessarily be the result of actual occurrences in the criminal justice system, but may reflect the degree to which reports of dispositions were reported and processed.

ADULT PROBATION

Adult probation data are limited to original grants of probation and do not include subsequent grants of probation to those already under supervised probation in the same county. Probationers are counted for each jurisdiction in which they are on probation. However, probationers with cases in multiple jurisdictions are counted more than one time in statewide totals.

Probation removal data from 2003 through 2007 will not match previously reported data because of programmatic adjustments made to one county, affecting statewide counts.

The Imperial County Probation Department did not report data for May through June 2009. During these months there were problems encountered by the department in converting from a manual report to an automated report generated from their new case management system.

For 2002, the Marin County Probation Department implemented a new computer system. Because of complications associated with the new system, the department was unable to extract data for November and December.

For 2000, the Mariposa County Probation Department reported a negative caseload count for misdemeanor offenses. This was due to more probationers being removed from probation at the beginning of the year than were added throughout the year.

Beginning in March 2002, the Placer County Probation Department's caseload increased because of the merging of the Tahoe City and Auburn offices. Previously, the Tahoe City office did not submit reports.

As a result of a computer change and audit in August 2002, the Sacramento County Probation Department combined its felony and misdemeanor offense counts.

San Bernardino County Probation Department data are incomplete for 2001 because of a loss of personnel.

The San Francisco County Probation Department reported incomplete data for 2000. Also, the department was unable to report for 2001 through 2005 because of computer program issues and budget cuts.

In 2002, the San Joaquin County Probation Department reported a negative caseload count for felony offenses. This was due to more probationers being removed from probation at the beginning of the year than were added throughout the year.

In 2009, the Santa Barbara County Probation Department discovered that counts for offenders added to probation through reinstatement were being

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS *(continued)*

underreported. Adjustments were made to their December report to correct the 2009 total. Although the problem was identified as occurring in 2007 and 2008, no adjustments were made for the prior years.

The Ventura County Probation Department made adjustments to its 2003 through 2005 data. Therefore, data for Ventura County and statewide will not match previously reported data.

As a result of a caseload audit, the Yolo County Probation Department made adjustments to its January 2007 beginning caseload counts.

The Yolo County Probation Department experienced programming problems and was unable to report data for 2000, and it reported only partial data for 2001 (April through December).

Contra Costa, Merced, Sacramento, Siskiyou, Tulare, and Yolo county probation departments did not report separate misdemeanor offense counts.

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

The source for jail population data is the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA, formerly the California Board of Corrections), "Jail Profile Survey."

Average daily jail population is defined as the average number of inmates housed in a local facility per day. The number includes inmates housed in single or double cells, dormitories, disabled housing, and disciplinary or administrative segregation. The values reported are based upon each facility's "early morning" count.

Type I facility: a local detention facility for detaining persons for not more than 96 hours after booking, excluding holidays. Type I facilities may also detain persons on a court order or for their own safekeeping, or sentence persons to a city jail as inmate workers. This facility may also house inmate workers sentenced to the county jail, provided such placement in the facility

is made voluntarily by the inmate. An inmate worker is a person assigned to do designated tasks outside his or her cell or dormitory, pursuant to the written policy of the facility, for a minimum of four hours each day on a five-day scheduled workweek.

Type II facility: a local detention facility for the detention of persons pending an arraignment, participating in a trial, or awaiting a sentence of commitment.

Type III facility: a local detention facility used only for persons convicted and sentenced.

Type IV facility: a local detention facility, or portion of one, designated for the housing of inmates eligible under Penal Code section 1208 for work/education furlough and/or other programs involving inmate access into the community.

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Domestic Violence-Related Calls for Assistance

Domestic violence is defined as "...abuse committed against an adult or a fully emancipated minor who is a spouse, former spouse, cohabitant, former cohabitant, or person with whom the suspect has had a child or is having or has had a dating or engagement relationship." [13700(b) PC]

Abuse is defined as "...intentionally or recklessly causing or attempting to cause bodily injury, or placing another person in reasonable apprehension of imminent serious bodily injury to himself or herself, or another." [13700(a) PC]

The definition of "domestic violence" is subject to varying interpretations by law enforcement agencies. As a result, different types of domestic violence relationships may be included in the database.

DATA CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWN LIMITATIONS *(continued)*

Included in the data are any cases that resulted in a report being written by the responding law enforcement agency. Therefore, data include both cases where an arrest was made and those where circumstances did not warrant an arrest.

Domestic violence-related calls for assistance that involved the use, or threat to use, a firearm, knife or cutting instrument or other dangerous weapon are reported according to the type of weapon used, regardless of the outcome or injury.

In 2002, law enforcement agencies were given clarification about reporting personal weapons. This clarification corresponds to a notable decrease in the number of personal weapons reported. The use of a personal weapon, such as hands, fists, or feet, was reported as a weapon only if the assault was considered an aggravated assault under Uniform Crime Reporting guidelines. An aggravated assault is an unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury, such as broken bones, internal injuries, or cuts requiring stitches.

The Agnews Developmental Center began contracting services with the San Jose Police Department in August 2009. The Agnews Developmental Center's crime data for August through December 2009 are included in the San Jose Police Department's reports.

The Hanford Police Department did not submit crime data for July through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

The Lemoore Police Department did not submit crime data for December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.

In 2004, the Legislature amended section 11093 of the Government Code to require any state agency or department that develops and maintains data and statistics on the municipal level to report a separate breakdown for the San Fernando Valley portion of the City of Los Angeles.

Mendota Police Department began reporting data in September 2009.

In January 2009, the Rancho Cordova Police Department became a contracting agency of the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. The 2009 crime data for Rancho Cordova Police Department was provided separately from the sheriff's department's reports.

Data for the San Francisco County Sheriff's Department are reported by the San Francisco Police Department.

The policing agency of the Tehachapi Department of Parks and Recreation began reporting data in January 2009.

The Tehachapi Police Department began reporting data in January 2009.

The Upland Police Department did not submit crime data for June through December 2009 because of problems associated with their records management system.